



Stakeholder Communication Form

(Version 01.0)

This form shall be used for any CDM-related communication with the UNFCCC secretariat or the CDM Executive Board. All the questions are mandatory unless otherwise indicated.

The completed form and any supplemental documents shall be submitted electronically to cdm-info@unfccc.int, or via fax to +49-228-815-1999 or via post to: Sustainable Development Mechanism (SDM) Programme, UNFCCC secretariat, P.O. Box 260124, D-53153 Bonn, Germany.

SECTION 1: COMMUNICATION HEADER

Please provide your contact information.

Title: Mr. **First Name:** Sven **Last Name:** Kolmetz

Name of Organization: Project Developer Forum **E-mail Address:** sven.kolmetz@pd-forum.net

Postal Address: 100 New Bridge Street, UK London EC4V 6JA **Phone Number:** 491712798223
Country: Unite Kingdom *Include country code (e.g. +49-228-815-1999)*

Stakeholder Type: Non-Governmental Organization (NGO) If other:

Please indicate from whom you would like to get an answer.

This communication is addressed to¹: Chair of CDM Executive Board (normal track)

SECTION 2: PROJECT ACTIVITY OR PROGRAMME OF ACTIVITIES (PoA)

If this communication refers to a specific CDM project activity/PoA, please answer questions in this section (otherwise proceed to Section 3).

Project/PoA Ref. Number	5-digit# format 01234	If applicable, CPA Ref. Number:	8-digit# format 0123-4567
Project Cycle Stage	Other	If other:	

If there is no specific CDM Reference Number, please answer the remaining questions in this section (otherwise proceed to Section 3).

Host Country(ies)	All Non-Annex I		
Project/PoA Title			
Technology Type	Other	If other: All	

SECTION 3: YOUR COMMUNICATION

Title/Subject <i>Maximum 250 characters</i>	Input to letter to the CDM Executive Board submitted by the World Bank Group on voluntary withdrawal of Focal Point entities
Communication Text <i>Include background, details, and conclusion (unlimited length)</i>	The PDF welcomes the possibility to comment on the request from the World Bank for unilateral withdrawal of FP / PP and thanks for this opportunity. The topic is a very sensitive one as the FP and PP rights are important legal positions that can affect relationship between project owners and project developers as well as other participants (e.g. buyers) in the CDM process. Hence, the possibility for withdrawal should be restrictive. Nevertheless, most of the project developers observed a situation where projects were abandoned or companies simply ceased to exist and it was impossible to get a signature for necessary changes of the MoC. As the FP is responsible for the forwarding of the certificates to an account outside the CDM registry and for the submission of changes of PP's we do not see a problem if a FP unilaterally withdraws. In this case the remaining PP's need to submit a new MoC with the new FP.

¹ In accordance with the "Procedure: Direct communication with stakeholders" (version 02.0), stakeholders may address communications either (a) to the secretariat, in order to seek a fast-track technical or operational explanation regarding the implementation of existing CDM rules, or (b) to the CDM Executive Board, in order to communicate to the Board their views on CDM rules and their implementation, or to seek official clarifications of CDM rules.

	<p>Difficulties arise if one or more PP's are not available any more. So, the still active PP cannot change anything if they cannot get the signature of the inactive PP and may be blocked. In this case the inactive PP needs to be identified and the UNFCCC needs to get in touch with the inactive PP and try to get his consent that he is not active in the project any more or that the company does not exist any more. Only after a reasonable attempt to get to the status of an inactive PP this PP may be neglected and withdrawn from the MoC. To avoid delays in this process the response time should be limited to one month. We expect that a PP that still wants to be active would respond in time to maintain his legal rights.</p> <p>Besides these specific questions we would like to point out that the MoC procedures are rather complex and regularly create problems. If the EB finds it appropriate we would be happy to support and suggest simplified procedures for the MoC related processes.</p>
Supplemental Documents <i>If applicable, list the title(s) of any attached file(s) or link(s)</i>	
This communication may be made public	Yes

Document information

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
01.0	02 March 2015	This form supersedes and replaces the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • F-CDM-RtB: <i>Form for submission of Letters to the Board</i> (version 01.2) • F-CDM-RtB-DOE: <i>Form for communication on policy issues initiated by AEs/DOEs</i> (version 01.1) • CDM-RtB-DNA: <i>Form for communication on policy issues initiated by DNAs</i> (version 01.1)
Decision Class: Regulatory Document Type: Form Business Function: Governance Keywords: communications		